

Evaluation of 2021 Air Quality over Asia using the WRF–Chem Model and the Impacts of Pollutant Boundary Conditions

Yeri Kim and Young–Hee Ryu

Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Yonsei university

Air quality over Asia in 2021 is simulated using the WRF–Chem modeling system and evaluated against various observational datasets. Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 μm ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$), primary pollutants (NO_2 and SO_2), and ozone (O_3) concentrations are analyzed. The simulated pollutant concentrations are evaluated using a wide range of data including Tracking Air Pollution in China (TAP), China High Air Pollutants (CHAP), Air Korea, long-term daily ground $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentrations in India (LongPMInd), and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) aerosol optical depth (AOD). The results show that $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, NO_2 , and SO_2 are well-simulated ($r = 0.83$, 0.78 , and 0.80 for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, NO_2 , and SO_2 , respectively), while O_3 is underestimated by the model with a mean bias error of -18.26 ppb ($r = 0.49$) in Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei region. In Yangtze River Delta region, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and SO_2 are well-simulated ($r = 0.70$ for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and 0.80 for SO_2), but the model shows relative low performance for O_3 and NO_2 ($r = 0.58$ and $r = 0.33$ for respectively O_3 and NO_2). Over South Korea, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and NO_2 are well-simulated ($r = 0.73$ for both $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and NO_2), while the model shows relative low performance for O_3 and SO_2 ($r = 0.58$ for O_3 and $r = 0.42$ for SO_2). Additionally, sensitivity experiments in which climatological mean (2013–2022) pollutant boundary forcing is used instead of the time-dependent reanalysis forcing are conducted to examine the influences of pollutant boundary conditions on air quality over Asia. The most significant differences are found for O_3 , with a maximum difference of greater 10 ppb near the surface within the domain. Further detailed analyses will be presented and discussed.

Key words: WRF–Chem, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, air pollutant, evaluation, boundary forcing